

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspitz.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/132,327	08/11/1998	MICHEL SAFARS	USB97-SVN-OM	9217
466 7	590 12/26/2001			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23 ARLINGTON,	RD STREET 2ND FLOO VA 22202	R	PAULA, CESAR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M				
<i>h</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	09/132,327	SAFARS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CESAR B PAULA	2176		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	3S	
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVEIDE AN	MONTH(S) EDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured to the period of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2001			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal ma r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 61-74 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-74</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
,	epted or b) objected to by			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.)(.)		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language points) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the RCE filed on 9/26/01.

This action is made Non-final.

2. Claims 34-60 have been canceled. Claims 61-74 have been added and are pending in the case. Claim 61 is an independent claim.

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), and based on application # PCT/FR98/00917 filed in France on 5/6/1998, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

4. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

5. Appropriate corrections have been made to the abstract, therefore its objection has been withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Small et al, hereinafter Small (Pat.# 5,898,434, 4/27/99, filed on 8/22/94), in view of Kessenich et al., hereinafter Kessenich (Pat. # 6,034,680, 3/7/2000, filed on 4/30/1997).

Regarding independent claim 61, Small teaches the display of a book (col. 27, lines 10-67, and fig. 22). Small fails to explicitly disclose *organizing a multiplicity of* electronic documents using a book metaphor so that the documents are arranged as pages in chapters. Kessenich discloses a method for displaying and organizing books into categories and placing a central address or universal location for the accessing of these books in a library format (col.2, lines 60-67, col. 3, lines 1-12, and col. 8, lines 14-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Small, and Kessenich, because Kessenich teaches above the combination of multiple books in a carefully constructed educational context.

Moreover, Small teaches the creation of interface elements (of common programming architeture) for performing different operations related to the documents in which they are to be found (col. 3, lines 42-67). Small fails to explicitly disclose providing in the electronic library an electronic catalog of further. Kessenich discloses a method for displaying and organizing books into categories and placing a central address or universal location for the accessing of these books in a library format (col.2, lines 60-67, col. 3, lines 1-12, and col. 8, lines 14-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Small, and Kessenich, because Kessenich teaches above the combination of multiple books in a carefully constructed educational context.

Moreover, Small discloses the *copying* of programming interface elements—pagelets— from a well or catalog of elements, onto electronic pages for *performing a given function* (col. 10, lines 57-67, and col. 11, lines 1-67).

8. Claims 62-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Small et al, hereinafter Small, in view of Kessenich, further in view of Weinberg et al, hereinafter Weinberg (Pat.# 5,924,108, 6/13/99, filed on 3/29/96), and further in view of Fein et al, hereinafter Fein (Pat. # 5,924,108, 7/13/99, filed on 3/29/96).

Regarding claim 62, which depends on claim 61, Small teaches the indexing and reorganization of document notes (col. 14, lines 28-51), the search and gathering of data (col. 13, lines 1-36), providing navigation information (col.15, lines 35-67), and the updating of data (col. 27, lines 10-37). Small fails to explicitly disclose *searching the internet and adding the search results as new pages, preparing summaries of one or more of the pages, performing statistical analyses, inserting new pages, automatically updating the electronic books.* Weinberg teaches the search, adding, performing statistical analyses, and updating of web pages to a web site (abstract, col.24, lines 47-67, col. 26, lines 32-67). Fein teaches the summarizing function for creating the summary of a document (col. 4, lines 29-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combine the teachings of Small, Weinberg, and Fein, because Weinberg teaches above the facilitation of web site management, and Fein discloses the automatic creation of summaries (col. 2, lines 42-46).

Claims 63-74 are directed towards a method for implementing the method found in claim 62, and are therefore similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 61-74 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Applicant remark that Kessenich et al do not the provision of an electronic library with books and with pagelets (p.5,L.7-23)

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Verteney et al. (Pat. # 5,341,293), Irons (Pat. # 6,192,165), Darnell et al. (Pat. # 5,596,700), and Haggard et al. (Pat. # 6,148,335).
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Director United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

• (703) 746-7238, (for After Final communications intended for entry)

• (703) 746-7239, (for Formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

• (703) 746-7240, (for Informal or Draft communications for discussion only, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CBP

12/14/01

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER